for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. *See* section 307(b)(2).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation byreference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: October 21, 2021.

## John Blevins,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 52 as follows:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

### Subpart PP—South Carolina

■ 2. Section 52.2120(c), is amended under the heading "Regulation No. 62.1" by revising the entry for "Section I" to read as follows:

### § 52.2120 Identification of plan.

\* \*

(c) \* \* \*

**EPA-APPROVED SOUTH CAROLINA REGULATIONS** 

State citation	Title/subject		State effective date	EPA approval date		Explanation
Regulation No. 62.1	Definitions and G ments.	eneral Require-				
Section I	Definitions		4/24/2020	10/28/2021 [Insert cation].		
* *	*	*		*	*	*

\* \* \* \* \* \* [FR Doc. 2021–23349 Filed 10–27–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# \_\_\_\_\_

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2021-0368; FRL-8716-02-R9]

## Air Plan Approval; Nevada; Revisions to Clark County Ozone Maintenance Plan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve a revision to the State of Nevada's state implementation plan (SIP) for Clark County. The revision consists of an update to certain elements of the maintenance plan for the Clark County air quality planning area for the 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS or "standards"), including certain emissions inventories and motor vehicle emissions budgets. The EPA is approving the SIP revision because the Clark County ozone maintenance plan, as revised, continues to provide for maintenance of the 1997 ozone NAAOS and will not interfere with attainment or reasonable further progress of the other NAAQS, and the motor vehicle

emissions budgets meet the applicable transportation conformity requirements. **DATES:** This rule will be effective on November 29, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket No. EPA-R09-OAR-2021-0368. All documents in the docket are listed on the https://www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other materials, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through https:// www.regulations.gov, or please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information. If vou need assistance in a language other than English or if you are a person with disabilities who needs a reasonable accommodation at no cost to you, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karina O'Connor, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; By phone: (775) 434–8176 or by email at oconnor.karina@epa.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, "we," "us," or "our" refer to the EPA.

### **Table of Contents**

I. Summary of the Proposed Action II. Public Comments III. Final Action

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

### I. Summary of the Proposed Action

On August 9, 2021 (86 FR 43461), under section 110(k) of the Clean Air Act ("Act" or CAA), the EPA proposed to approve a SIP revision titled "Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS, Clark County, Nevada'' (August 2020) (herein referred to as the "2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision''), submitted by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) on September 30, 2020.<sup>1</sup> The 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision updates certain elements of the maintenance plan for Clark County for the 1997 ozone NAAQS, including certain emissions inventories and the motor vehicle emissions budgets ("budgets" or MVEBs). The 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision was prepared in response to the EPA's conditional approval of the "Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions **Budgets in Ozone Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan: Clark** County, Nevada" (October 2018) (herein referred to as the "2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision").<sup>2</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>NDEP submitted the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision electronically on September 30, 2020, as an attachment to a transmittal letter dated September 25, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>84 FR 44699 (August 27, 2019).

2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision revises certain budgets from the 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision to prevent interference with reasonable further progress or attainment of the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAOS.<sup>3</sup>

As noted above, the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision includes certain updated emissions inventories. In our August 9, 2021 proposed rule, we describe our evaluation of the updated inventories and conclude that, based on our review of the methods, assumptions, and data sources, the Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability's estimates for 2017 and 2022 for the various source categories are based on the best available emissions models and data sources, and thus provide a reasonable basis upon which to evaluate whether the area will continue to maintain the 1997 ozone NAAQS through 2022 and whether the revised budgets for 2022 in the 2020 **Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision** would interfere with reasonable further progress (RFP) or attainment of the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS.<sup>4</sup>

In our August 9, 2021 proposed rule, we also describe our review of the revised budgets for year 2022 in the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision and conclude that they are consistent with the revised maintenance demonstration from the 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision; are based on control measures that have already been adopted and implemented; and meet all other applicable statutory and regulatory requirements including the adequacy criteria in 40 CFR 93.1118(e)(4) and (5).<sup>5</sup>

Lastly, in our August 9, 2021 proposed rule, we describe our review the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision for possible interference with RFP or attainment with respect to the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS in Clark County. In short, because the updated emissions inventories of ozone precursor emissions for 2022, including the revised budgets and related safety margins, would be less than the corresponding emissions inventories for year 2017, we conclude in our proposed rule that the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision would not interfere with RFP or attainment for the 2008 and 2015 ozone NAAQS in Clark County and thus would be consistent with the requirements for SIP revisions under CAA section 110(l).<sup>6</sup>

For more information on the background for this action, including a description of the ozone NAAQS, the ozone area designations for Clark County, the 2011 Ozone Maintenance Plan and 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, and the rationale for approval of the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, please see our August 9, 2021 proposed rule.

## **II. Public Comments**

The public comment period for the EPA's August 9, 2021 proposed rule closed on September 8, 2021. The EPA did not receive any public comments.

### **III. Final Action**

For the reasons discussed in our August 9, 2021 proposed rule and summarized herein, the EPA is taking final action under CAA section 110(k)(3)to approve the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision submitted by NDEP on September 30, 2020, as a revision to the Clark County portion of the Nevada SIP. We are approving the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision because we find that the 2011 Ozone Maintenance Plan, as revised by the 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, and as further revised by the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, continues to provide for maintenance of the 1997 ozone NAAQS and will not interfere with RFP or attainment of the other NAAOS in Clark County.

In approving the 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, the EPA is also finding adequate and approving the updated budgets for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) and volatile organic compounds (VOC) for 2022 for the 1997 ozone NAAQS (shown in Table 1) based on our conclusion that the updated budgets meet the applicable transportation conformity and other CAA requirements.

## TABLE 1—CLARK COUNTY YEAR 2022 OZONE MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSION BUDGETS

[County-wide, average summer weekday, tpd]

Year	2020 Ozone maintenance plan revision		
	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	
2022	32.16	23.92	

Source: 2020 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision, Table 3–1.

The revised budgets in Table 1 replace Clark County's existing budgets for the plan horizon year (2022) for the 1997 ozone NAAQS from the 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision.<sup>7</sup> The Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada and U.S. Department of Transportation must use the revised budgets for future transportation conformity determinations for the 2015 ozone NAAQS until motor vehicle emissions budgets for that ozone NAAQS are found adequate or are approved.<sup>8</sup>

# IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves a state plan as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

• Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

• Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

• Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The 2018 Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision includes revisions to the attainment inventory, the maintenance demonstration, and budgets in the "Ozone Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan, Clark County, Nevada (March 2011)" (herein referred to as the "2011 Ozone Maintenance Plan") to reflect updated emissions models, vehicle mix and speed data, and transportation activity projections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 86 FR 43464–43466 (August 9, 2021 proposed rule).

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  See 86 FR 43466–43467 (August 9, 2021 proposed rule).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See 86 FR 43467–43468 (August 9, 2021 proposed rule).

<sup>7 40</sup> CFR 93.118(f)(2)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As noted in the proposed rule, through this action, we are removing the conditional approval regulatory text found at 40 CFR 52.1475(a).

in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

• Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999):

• Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

• Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

• Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

• Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. The Las Vegas Tribe of Paiute Indians of the Las Vegas Indian Colony has areas of Indian country geographically located within the Clark County 1997 ozone maintenance area. In those areas of Indian country, the action does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal **Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a 'major rule'' as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 27, 2021. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by

reference, Intergovernmental regulations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: October 20, 2021.

### Deborah Jordan,

Acting Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX.

Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

### Subpart DD—Nevada

■ 2. Section 52.1470 is amended in the table in paragraph (e) by adding an entry for "Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS, Clark County, Nevada (August 2020)" after the entry for "Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets in Ozone Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan: Clark County, Nevada (October 2018)" to read as follows:

§ 52.1470 Identification of plan.

\* \*

(e) \* \* \*

EPA-APPROVED NEVADA NONREGULATORY AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES

Name of SIP provision	Applicable geographic or nonattainment area	State submittal date	EPA approval date	Explanation						
Air Quality Implementation Plan for the State of Nevada <sup>1</sup>										
*	* *	*	*	*	*					
Revision to Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the 1997 Ozone NAAQS, Clark County, Nevada (August 2020).	Clark County, Nevada: That portion of Clark County that lies in hydrogeographic areas 164A, 164B, 165, 166, 167, 212, 213, 214, 216, 217, and 218, but exclud- ing the Moapa River In- dian Reservation and the Fort Mohave Indian Res- ervation.	9/30/20	[INSERT Federal Register CI- TATION], 10/ 28/21.	Submitted by NDEP el tember 30, 2020, as letter dated Septem proval of the 2020 Plan Revision remo placed on the app Ozone Maintenance F	an attachment to a ber 25, 2020. Ap Ozone Maintenance oves the condition roval of the 2018					

<sup>1</sup> The organization of this table generally follows from the organization of the State of Nevada's original 1972 SIP, which was divided into 12 sections. Nonattainment and maintenance plans, among other types of plans, are listed under Section 5 (Control Strategy). Lead SIPs and Small Business Stationary Source Technical and Environmental Compliance Assistance SIPs are listed after Section 12 followed by nonregulatory or quasi-regulatory statutory provisions approved into the SIP. Regulatory statutory provisions are listed in 40 CFR 52.1470(c).

\* \* \* \* \*

§52.1475 [Removed and Reserved]

■ 3. Section 52.1475 is removed and reserved.

[FR Doc. 2021–23377 Filed 10–27–21; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2020-0524; FRL-8762-02-R4]

## Air Plan Approval; South Carolina; 2018 General Assembly New Source Review Update

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is finalizing approval of State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of South Carolina, through the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC or Department), on April 24, 2020. The SIP revisions update the State's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Nonattainment New Source Review (NNSR) regulations. Specifically, the SIP revisions add and update several definitions for consistency with the Federal regulations, update public participation requirements for PSD, clarify the applicability of "source impact analysis" for PSD, add an emissions offset banking provision for NNSR, and make administrative updates, such as typographical corrections and renumbering. Finally, the changes incorporate language that addresses the public notice rule provisions for NNSR, which removes the mandatory requirements to provide public notice in a newspaper and instead allows for electronic notice ("enotice") as an alternate noticing option for the State. EPA is approving these revisions pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and implementing Federal regulations.

**DATES:** This rule is effective November 29, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R04–OAR–2020–0524. All documents in the docket are listed on the *www.regulations.gov* website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION **CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andres Febres, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562– 8966. Mr. Febres can also be reached via electronic mail at *febresmartinez.andres@epa.gov.* 

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

On April 24, 2020, SDHEC submitted SIP revisions to EPA for approval that include changes to South Carolina's major source New Source Review (NSR) permitting regulations to make them more closely align with Federal requirements for PSD and NNSR permitting; correct typographical errors; and update internal references, including renumbering throughout both regulations. Specifically, these changes update South Carolina Regulation 61-62.5, Standard No. 7—Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Standard No. 7.1—Nonattainment New Source *Review.*<sup>1</sup> Additionally, the SIP revisions include an update to the public noticing procedures for South Carolina's NNSR regulations to address changes promulgated in the Federal rule entitled "Revisions to Public Notice Provisions in Clean Air Act Permitting Programs," (also referred to as the e-Notice Rule)

that was finalized in 2016. *See* 81 FR 71613 (October 18, 2016).<sup>2</sup>

On July 29, 2021, EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), proposing to approve with some exceptions, the changes submitted by South Carolina on April 24, 2020. <sup>3</sup> See 86 FR 40796. Comments on the NPRM were due by August 30, 2021. EPA received only one comment on the NPRM, which was in favor of EPA's action. The one comment received can be found in the docket for this action.

## **II. Incorporation by Reference**

In this document, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of South Carolina's Regulation 61-62.5, Standards No. 7-Prevention of Significant Deterioration, and Standard No. 7.1-Nonattainment *New Source Review*. both state effective on April 24, 2020, with the exception of paragraph (H), and a portion of paragraphs (A)(10)(t), and (B)(22)(c)(xx), from Regulation 61-62.5, Standard No. 7.1, as discussed in the NPRM.<sup>4</sup> EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through www.regulations.gov and at the EPA Region 4 Office (please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information). Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the State implementation plan, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>5</sup>

### **III. Final Action**

As described in more detail in the NPRM, EPA is approving, with some exceptions, the changes to the South Carolina Regulation 61–62.5, Standards No. 7—*Prevention of Significant Deterioration*, and Standard No. 7.1—*Nonattainment New Source Review*, as submitted by South Carolina on April 24, 2020.

<sup>3</sup> For more details on the exemptions to EPA's approval, see the NPRM for this action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On April 24, 2020, SDHEC also submitted to EPA SIP revisions to Regulations 61–62.1, Section I—Definitions; 61–62.1, Section II—Permit Requirements; 61–62.1, Section III—Emission Inventory and Emissions Statement; 61–62.1, Section IV—Source Tests; 61–62.1, Section V— Credible Emissions; 61–62.5, Standard No. 2— Ambient Air Quality Standards; and 61–62.5, Standard 5.2—Control of Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>). EPA will address these SIP revisions in separate actions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> EPA previously approved e-notice provisions for South Carolina's PSD program. *See* 83 FR 64285 (December 14, 2018). Although the e-notice provisions in the State's NNSR program are being incorporated into the SIP for the first time, the April 24, 2020, SIP revisions also include updates to the already SIP-approved e-notice provisions in South Carolina's SIP-approved PSD program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 86 FR 40796 at 40798.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).